BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342 ALICE KWONG MA HAYASHI #178522 ELIANA P. KAIMOWITZ RODRIGUEZ #25 50 Fremont Street Post Office Box 7880 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880 Telephone: (415) 983-1000 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200 bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com alice.hayashi@pillsburylaw.com eliana.kaimowitz@pillsburylaw.com Attorneys for Defendants	6712
UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION
SUNNYSIDE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LLC, Plaintiff, vs. CAMBRIDGE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGY LIMITED, CDT OXFORD LIMITED, OPSYS LIMITED, and JOHN DOES I through V, Defendants.	PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT CDT OXFORD LIMITED'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION Date: September 8, 2008 Time: 2:00 pm Courtroom 15, 18th Floor Hon. Marilyn Hall Patel
	ALICE KWONG MA HAYASHI #178522 ELIANA P. KAIMOWITZ RODRIGUEZ #25 50 Fremont Street Post Office Box 7880 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880 Telephone: (415) 983-1000 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200 bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com alice.hayashi@pillsburylaw.com eliana.kaimowitz@pillsburylaw.com Attorneys for Defendants CAMBRIDGE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGY LI and CDT OXFORD LIMITED UNITED STATES E NORTHERN DISTRIC SAN FRANCISC SUNNYSIDE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LLC, Plaintiff, vs. CAMBRIDGE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGY LIMITED, CDT OXFORD LIMITED, OPSYS LIMITED, and JOHN DOES I through V,

1	Defendant CDT Oxford Limited ("CDT Oxford") has moved pursuant to Rule
2	12(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to dismiss the Complaint filed by plaintiff
3	Sunnyside Development Company LLC ("Sunnyside") for lack of personal jurisdiction
4	over CDT Oxford ("Motion"). The Motion came on for hearing on September 8, 2008,
5	before the above-entitled Court, the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel presiding. Defendant
6	CDT Oxford was represented by Bruce A. Ericson, Esq., and Alice K. M. Hayashi, Esq., of
7	Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP. Plaintiff Sunnyside was represented by Michael
8	Hinckley, Esq., of Stiglich & Hinckley, LLP, and Christoph C. Heisenberg, Esq., of Traiger
9	& Hinckley LLP. The Court having read and considered the Motion and the supporting and
10	opposing papers filed by the parties, and having heard and considered the arguments of
11	counsel, and good cause appearing therefor:
12	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion is granted on the ground that there is no
13	basis for either general or specific personal jurisdiction over CDT Oxford. Sunnyside's
14	Complaint is therefore dismissed with prejudice as to CDT Oxford.
15	Dated:
16	
17	Hon. Marilyn Hall Patel
18	United States District Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	